

Unknown 7

2012 Surprise - "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell" on Summons, etc

After I posted my website <http://www.canweconnectthedots.com> to try to expose the accounting trails at bk467p191 I received multiple documents from the Court referencing "In RE: Harold A. O'Connell".

I cannot find out what the issue is in "In RE: Harold A. O'Connell" so I have to guess. My best guess is that it refers to the 1975 Will of our Dad Harold A. O'Connell. And since it is referring to the 1975 Will of Harold A. O'Connell instead of the 1992 Trust documents that supersede it concerning the Trust u/w of Harold A. O'Connell, my best guess is that the 1992 Trust documents are not recognized. If so, what is it in the Court records that would justify this? Is it the concealed fix of 1985? If not, what is it?

Is "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell" the result of the 1985(?) concealed fix? Can we see what the lawyer fixed?

5hao10p

SPS

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY
4110 CHAIN BRIDGE ROAD
FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22030
703-691-7320
(Press 3, Press 1)

IN RE: Harold A OConnell

What is the issue in "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell"?

CL-2012-0013064

TO: Anthony Miner OConnell
439 S Vista Del Rio
Green Valley 85614
Arizona

SUMMONS – CIVIL ACTION

The party, upon whom this summons and the attached complaint are served, is hereby notified that unless within 21 days after such service, response is made by filing in the Clerk's office of this Court a pleading in writing, in proper legal form, the allegations and charges may be taken as admitted and the court may enter an order, judgment or decree against such party either by default or after hearing evidence.

APPEARANCE IN PERSON IS NOT REQUIRED BY THIS SUMMONS.

Done in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia, on Tuesday, September 04, 2012.

JOHN T. FREY, CLERK

By: *Betty B Whieden*
Deputy Clerk

Plaintiff's Attorney Elizabeth Chichester Morrogh

SERVED: 9-8-12 3:00 PM.
Paul Frye

**FAIRFAX CIRCUIT COURT
NOTICE OF SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
LAW TRACK**

IN RE: HAROLD A OCONNELL

What is the issue in "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell"?

Case No. CL-2012-0013064

To: ANTHONY MINER OCONNELL DEFENDANT
439 S VISTA DEL RIO
GREEN VALLEY AR 85614

In an effort to resolve your case quickly and fairly, the court has placed a Scheduling Conference for this case on the docket. At the conference, we will establish a trial date and discovery schedule, and deal with other pre-trial matters. We will also discuss whether a settlement conference is appropriate. *DO NOT SET THIS CASE FOR TERM DAY.*

You are to appear for the Scheduling Conference at **08:30 AM on Tuesday, December 04, 2012**, at the Judicial Center, 4110 Chain Bridge Road in Fairfax. The docket with courtroom assignments will be posted electronically on the monitors located on the 4th and 5th floor of the Judicial Center the morning of the scheduling conference.

Please make **SURE** that all of the following things have been taken care of **BEFORE** the Scheduling Conference:

1. Resolve all demurrers, pleas in bars, motions to quash process and other special pleas. Set them down for argument on a Friday Motions Day.
2. Check service of process on each defendant. If a defendant has not been served, either obtain service or be prepared to explain why service has not been effected.
3. If any defendant is in default, obtain a default judgment against him, if possible, or at least a judicial declaration that he/she is in default.
4. Corporations must be represented by counsel.

If there is a problem with the assigned date, please contact the Case Management staff at (703) 246-2880, AT LEAST TEN DAYS before the scheduled conference.

The Judges of the Fairfax Circuit Court

10/22/2012

November 21, 2012
Anthony O'Connell, Trustee
439 South Vista del Rio
Green Valley, AZ 85614
anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com
(no telephone)

To the Honorable Judges of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia:

The Honorable Dennis J. Smith
The Honorable Marcus D. Williams
The Honorable Jane Marum Roush
The Honorable Johnathan C. Thacher
The Honorable R. Terrence Ney
The Honorable Randy I. Bellows
The Honorable Charles J. Maxfield
The Honorable Bruce D. White
The Honorable Robert J. Smith
The Honorable David S. Schel
The Honorable Jan L. Brodie
The Honorable Lorraine Nordlund
The Honorable Brett A. Kassabian
The Honorable Michael F. Devine

Please tell me if the enclosed Court notice is from the Judges or if it is from some alternative element speaking for the Court? I ask this because:

- (1) The notice is confusing and lacks accountability; there is no name, no signature, no point of contact, only a telephone number and "The Judges of the Fairfax Circuit Court" in the signature line.
- (2) The notice says "Case No. CL-2012-0013064", which matches the number on the summons and the injunction. The accusations in the summons and in the injunction are so blatantly false, and no evidence is provided to justify them, it's as if the law, the evidence, and accountability is not expected to be relevant.

(3) The notice says "In Re: Harold A. O'Connell" but doesn't say what the issue is. Harold A. O'Connell is our Dad who died in 1975.

It would not be prudent for me to appear in Court unless the issues are defined and the evidence for the issues can be obtained beforehand. The present structure makes me dead on arrival; this is not due process.

The best of my two bad options is to not appear in Court, even though my not appearing could be made to appear as if I, rather than the accountants, were trying to hide something, and the terms of their summons and injunction might be approved by default.

Would you please put everything on hold until all of the plaintiff's issues can be identified and their evidence for their issues can be obtained? The known evidence I need before appearing in Court includes exposing the accounting trails in our Mother's estate at Bk467p191.

Background

This is extremely complicated and impossible to present in an understandable manner except by through websites.


The CPA Joanne Barnes and the Attorney Edward White make money disappear and cover them selves by using an innocent family member as an unwitting agent to carry out their agenda. The evidence is in exposing the accounting trails at Book467page191 in the public record. I've tried to expose Bk467p191 for twenty years and the reaction has cost me my reputation, my family, and my solvency.

Exposing Bk467p191 would be the turning point, its the law, its common sense, and the public trusts that its done. Please use your power or call in federal powers that would do it. Please ask them to (1) protect our family, (2) find out where the money went and (3) untangle the accounting entanglements holding up the sale of our remaining family real estate that we call Accotink. Accotink is in a Virginia Land Trust and I am the Trustee.

Our family needs federal protection. The first thing our family needs is to have a federal agent personally visit our innocent sister Jean Nader at 350 Fourth Avenue, New Kensington, PA 15068, and convince her that she is being used by the accountants.

The terms of the summons and the injunction are for the benefit of the accountants and not for our family; it gives them unlimited control and cover of our family's assets and makes our family appear at fault. History suggests that the reason the accountants want to replace me with innocent Jean Nader as Trustee for Accotink is because they can use her as Trustee as they used her as co-executor in our mother's estate, to make money disappear.

Respectfully, Anthony O'Connell, Trustee



Enclosure: Court notice

References:

<http://www.alexandriavirginia15acres.com> (Trust property in Fairfax County for sale; controlling summons, injunction, etc.)

<http://www.farm139.com> (Trustee's individual property in Highland County for sale; controlling lien sent to Highland County)

<http://www.canweconnectthedots.com> (Comprehensive; twenty years in the making; if you want to know how the accountants work)

**FAIRFAX CIRCUIT COURT
NOTICE OF SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
LAW TRACK**

IN RE: HAROLD A OCONNELL

What is the issue in "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell"?

Case No. CL-2012-0013064

To: ANTHONY MINER OCONNELL DEFENDANT
439 S VISTA DEL RIO
GREEN VALLEY AR 85614

In an effort to resolve your case quickly and fairly, the court has placed a Scheduling Conference for this case on the docket. At the conference, we will establish a trial date and discovery schedule, and deal with other pre-trial matters. We will also discuss whether a settlement conference is appropriate. *DO NOT SET THIS CASE FOR TERM DAY.*

You are to appear for the Scheduling Conference at **08:30 AM on Tuesday, December 04, 2012**, at the Judicial Center, 4110 Chain Bridge Road in Fairfax. The docket with courtroom assignments will be posted electronically on the monitors located on the 4th and 5th floor of the Judicial Center the morning of the scheduling conference.

Please make **SURE** that all of the following things have been taken care of **BEFORE** the Scheduling Conference:

1. Resolve all demurrers, pleas in bars, motions to quash process and other special pleas. Set them down for argument on a Friday Motions Day.
2. Check service of process on each defendant. If a defendant has not been served, either obtain service or be prepared to explain why service has not been effected.
3. If any defendant is in default, obtain a default judgment against him, if possible, or at least a judicial declaration that he/she is in default.
4. Corporations must be represented by counsel.

If there is a problem with the assigned date, please contact the Case Management staff at (703) 246-2880, AT LEAST TEN DAYS before the scheduled conference.


The Judges of the Fairfax Circuit Court

10/22/2012

Please tell me if this Court notice is from the Judges or is it from some alternative element speaking for the Court? I ask this because:

- (1) The notice is confusing and lacks accountability; there is no name, no signature, no point of contact, only a telephone number and "The Judges of the Fairfax Circuit Court" in the signature line.
- (2) The notice says "Case No. CL-2012-0013064", which matches the number on the summons and the injunction. The accusations in the summons and in the injunction are so blatantly false, and no evidence is provided to justify them, it's as if the law, the evidence, and accountability is not expected to be relevant.
- (3) The notice says "In Re: Harold A. O'Connell" but doesn't say what the issue is. Harold A. O'Connell is our Dad who died in 1975.

It would not be prudent for me to appear in Court unless the issues are defined and the evidence for the issues can be obtained beforehand. This structure would make me dead on arrival. This is not due process.



NINETEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF VIRGINIA

Fairfax County Courthouse
4110 Chain Bridge Road
Fairfax, Virginia 22030-4009

703-246-2221 • Fax: 703-246-5496 • TDD: 703-352-4139

DENNIS J. SMITH, CHIEF JUDGE
MARCUS D. WILLIAMS
JANE MARUM ROUSH
JONATHAN C. THACHER
R. TERRENCE NEY
RANDY I. BELLOWS
CHARLES J. MAXFIELD
BRUCE D. WHITE
ROBERT J. SMITH
DAVID S. SCHELL
JAN L. BRODIE
LORRAINE NORDLUND
BRETT A. KASSABIAN
MICHAEL F. DEVINE
JUDGES

COUNTY OF FAIRFAX

CITY OF FAIRFAX

BARNARD F. JENNINGS
THOMAS A. FORTKORT
RICHARD J. JAMBORSKY
JACK B. STEVENS
J. HOWE BROWN
F. BRUCE BACH
M. LANGHORNE KEITH
ARTHUR B. VIEREGER
KATHLEEN H. MACKAY
ROBERT W. WOOLDRIDGE, JR.
MICHAEL P. McWEENEY
GAYLORD L. FINCH, JR.
STANLEY P. KLEIN
LESLIE M. ALDEN
RETIRED JUDGES

November 27, 2012

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee
439 South Vista Del Rio
Green Valley, AZ 85614

RE: In Re: Harold A O'Connell, CL-2012-13064

What is the issue in "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell"?

Dear Mr. O'Connell:

I have received your letter regarding the Notice of Scheduling Conference you received in case CL 2012 -0013064. This is indeed a valid notice from our court provided so that you would be aware of a Scheduling Conference at which we will, if appropriate, set a trial date and enter a scheduling order in accordance with the Uniform Pretrial Scheduling Order adopted by the Supreme Court of Virginia. No evidence will be taken at that time as this is only a hearing to establish the schedule for the orderly processing of the case.

As for accountability for the notice, it clearly indicates that it is sent by the judges of the circuit court and provides you with a phone number at which you can contact our case management staff with regard to the Scheduling Conference. We would not send out a notice indicating that you can contact the judges directly as such contact is inappropriate.

Your letter also indicates that you do not know what issues are raised in the case but our records indicate that you have responded to the Complaint which sets out the Plaintiff's allegations and prayer for relief so I therefore assume that you are acquainted with the issues which have been raised. As to whether the Plaintiff's allegations are true or merit relief, these issues will not be dealt with at the December 4, 2012 Scheduling Conference but are instead decided in the course of later proceedings or after a trial at which each side has had an opportunity to present evidence in the form of documents or testimony and make arguments as to the proper disposition of the issues.

You also request that our court take certain actions, but please note that Judges take actions based upon pleadings which are properly filed, and even then, only after each interested party has had an opportunity to respond and be heard on the request. Sending a letter to a judge is not filing a pleading in a case as pleadings are properly filed with the Clerk of Court. Additionally, copies of anything sent to the Court for filing must be provided to all other interested parties. As your letter does not indicate copies were sent to the other parties I will provide them with a copy of your letter and this response.

Finally, with regard to your participation in the Scheduling Conference at 8:30 a.m. on December 4, 2012, this is a civil case and it is your choice as to whether you participate in this administrative hearing or any further hearings. If you do not appear, the Court will proceed to establish scheduling without your input. We will, however, send you a copy of any Order that is entered at that hearing.

Sincerely Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dennis J. Smith", written in a cursive style.

Dennis J. Smith

Cc: Jean Mary O'Connell Nader
Anthony Miner O'Connell
Sheila A. O'Connell
Elizabeth Chichester Morrogh, Esquire



COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

Fairfax Circuit Court

4110 Chain Bridge Road
Fairfax, Virginia 22030-4048

703-246-2770 TDD 703-352-4139



BARBARA A. KENNEY
Chief Deputy

JOHN T. FREY
Clerk Of Circuit Court

Wednesday, December 05, 2012

TO: ANTHONY MINER OCONNELL
439 S VISTA DEL RIO
GREEN VALLEY, AR 85614

FROM: The Circuit Court Judges

RE: IN RE: Harold A OConnell
At Law No. CL 2012-0013064

What is the issue in "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell"?

A Scheduling Conference was held in the above-styled case on 12/04/2012. In your absence a trial date was set and a Scheduling Conference Order was entered.

Enclosed is your copy of that order along with a statement of the procedures for submitting trial exhibits and exhibit list form.

Any further questions regarding the Scheduling Order should be directed to the Differentiated Case Tracking Program Staff.

Ciania Botchway
Enclosure

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

In re: Harold A OConnell

What is the issue in "IN RE: Harold A. O'Connell"?

Plaintiff(s)

versus

Case # CL- 2012 - 13064

Defendant(s)

ORDER

A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE was held in this matter on Dec. 4, 2012 and it appearing to the court that a dispositive pre-trial motion is pending; it is

ORDERED that the _____ be set for Friday Jan 25, 2013 without a judge / with a judge at 10 a.m. on the Motions Docket; and it is

ORDERED that counsel file any such related pleadings pursuant to Rule 4:15 of the Supreme Court of Virginia; and it is

Further ORDERED that the Scheduling Conference be continued to N/A at 8:30 a.m. unless a final order is entered prior to that date or in the event that a trial date has already been set.

ENTERED this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

Circuit Court Judge nyc

SEEN:

Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

Counsel for Defendant(s)

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee
439 S. Vista del Rio
Green Valley, Arizona 85614
January 11, 2013
anthonymineroconnell@gmail.com

Chief Judge Dennis J. Smith
Nineteenth Judicial Circuit of Virginia
Fairfax County Court House
4110 Chain Bridge Road
Fairfax, Virginia 22030-4009

Reference:

Re: Harold A. O'Connell(?), CL-2012-13064; Lien, Summons, Injunction, Notice, Order

The Honorable Chief Judge Dennis J. Smith:

Please tell me what the issue is in "Re: Harold A. O'Connell". Harold A. O'Connell is our Dad who died in 1975.


Does the issue have to do with the surprise clause in the 1988 deed at bk7005p634 for my sale of our home place that says:

- "Whereas by Deed of Partition recorded in Deed Book 4026 at Page 454, the property was reconveyed to Harold A. O'Connell as to an undivided one-half interest and to Jean M. O'Connell, as to an undivided one-half interest, whereas, Harold A. O'Connell died testate May 26, 1975, and by his Last Will and Testament recorded in Will Book 201 at Page 96, **devised his interest to his executor Anthony M. O'Connell, Trustee; whereas Anthony M. O'Connell, Trustee, could not qualify and Herbert A. Higham, Trustee, was appointed to act in his place and stead.**"

If there is anything in the Court records that would justify what this deed says, that I could not qualify as Trustee, would you please have copies sent to me?

Please tell me what the issue is in "Re: Harold A. O'Connell".

Sincerely,


Anthony O'Connell, Trustee